## **Capitan Municipal Schools**

## **School Grading Summary**

The district grade is determined by average of school grades in the dis For a description of status see page	trict.	<b>Grade</b> B
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	3	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0

### What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC–N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

#### What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

#### What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

**Summaries of School Grades** 

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8  $\,$ 

School Board Member Training

**Budgeted Expenditures** 

**Teacher Credentials** 

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)
Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

### **Definitions and Abbreviations**

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

### Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander Afr Am: African American

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

SGTs School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demogra	phics			
	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	470	100	337,054	100
Female	225	48	164,148	49
Male	245	52	172,906	51
Caucasian	319	68	84,518	25
African-American	5	1	7,530	2
Hispanic	130	28	204,866	61
Asian	5	1	4,489	1
Pacific Islander	1	0	509	0
American Indian	10	2	35,142	10
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0
ED	298	63	231,830	69
SWD	59	13	48,479	14
ELL	0	0	51,895	15
Migrant	0	0	458	0
Recently Arrived	0	0	19,389	6
	Sour	ce: LEA 12	Oth day submission to	the PED

### **Accountability - School Grading and Status**

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

\*\*\* Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- \*\* Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- \* Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Capitan Elementary	В	Capitan High	Α
Capitan Middle	С		

#### **Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)**

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

				Profic	cient or Or	n Target	to Proficie	ncy				Gro	wth	
	Target (%)	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic		Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Targe (SS/Y	et r) Q1	Targe (SS/Yı	
Capitan Eleme	ntary													
Reading	61	61.7 🗸	67.2 ✓		56.0 🗴			61.8 🗸	33.3 🗴		2.5	1.3 🗴	0.25	-0.7 🗴
Mathematics	55	69.1 ✓	67.2 ✓		80.0 ✓			72.1 🗸	42.9 🗴		2.2	1.5 🗴	0.15	0.3 🗸
Attendance	92	95.8 ✓	95.9 ✓		95.4 🗸			95.8 🗸	94.4 🗸					
Capitan High														
Reading	61	68.6 🗸	68.6 ✓					59.0 🗴			2.5		0.25	0.0 🗴
Mathematics	55	34.3 🗴	33.3 🗴					35.9 🗴			2.2		0.15	-0.7 🗴
Attendance	92	94.6 🗸	94.5 🗸		95.2 ✓			93.9 🗸	89.4 🗴					
Graduation	73.7	82.4 🗸	79.3 🗸		88.2 🗸			78.4 ✓						
Capitan Middle	9													
Reading	61	61.9 🗸	70.1 🗸		45.7 😕			54.4 🗴			2.5	1.2 🗴	0.25	-0.4 ×
Mathematics	55	42.9 🗴	46.0 🗴		37.1 🛰			38.0 🗴			2.2	0.3 🗴	0.15	-0.9 🗴
Attendance	92	95.1 🗸	95.1 🗸		95.0 🗸			94.8 🗸	93.6 🗸					
LEA Current														
Reading	61	63.4 🗸	68.8 🗸		52.0 🗴			58.1 🗴	28.6 🗴		2.5	1.0 🗴	0.25	-0.4 ×
Mathematics	55	49.3 🗴	49.5 🗴		50.7 😕			50.0 🗴	23.8 🗴		2.2	0.2 🗴	0.15	-0.4 ×
Attendance	92	95.2 ✓	95.2 🗸		95.2 ✓		94.4 🗸	95.0 ✓	92.9 ✓					
Graduation	73.7	82.4 🗸	79.3 🗸	<u>-</u>	88.2 🗸	·		78.4 ✓	<u>-</u>	·				<u>-</u>
Blanks indicate too fe	w students	to report (N<10)							Sou	urce: PED Data	Planning a	and Analysis E	ureau	

## **Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade**

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

			Re	ading			Mathe	ematics			Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient		Proficienc	y Level (%	.)		Proficienc	y Level (%	)		Proficienc	y Level (%	·)
	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
Grade	2	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current	9	53	22	16	13	72	16	<2				
3	LEA Prior	10	63	15	13	8	43	50	<2				
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current	8	44	39	8	11	39	33	17	8	56	31	6
Capita	an Municipal Schools						Pag	je 2 of 6		School Di	strict Repo	ort Card 20	013-2014

			Re	ading			Mathe	ematics			Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient		Proficienc		)		Proficienc	y Level (%	)		Proficienc	y Level (%	,)
	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
Grade		4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
4	LEA Prior	15	59	26	<2	11	44	41	4	7	67	26	<2
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				
5	LEA Current	12	58	31	<2	12	50	31	8				
5	LEA Prior	9	62	29	<2	11	44	40	4				
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24				
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23				
6	LEA Current	4	48	43	4	7	39	39	15				
6	LEA Prior	13	45	28	15	8	33	40	20				
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23
7	LEA Current	9	45	30	16	7	23	41	30	11	48	16	25
7	LEA Prior	13	55	26	5	11	42	45	3	21	39	39	<2
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17				
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14				
8	LEA Current	8	56	31	6	8	44	39	8				
8	LEA Prior	10	63	22	5	12	41	41	5				
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17				
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17				
10	LEA Current	5	63	24	7	<2	34	56	10				
10	LEA Prior	3	67	31	<2	3	19	64	14				
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20
11	LEA Current	14	55	31	<2	3	31	66	<2	<2	52	41	7
11	LEA Prior	13	48	30	9	2	46	39	13	<2	46	43	11

Achievement	Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup													
				Rea	ding		N	lather	natics	;		Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Pro Level 4	oficiency Level 3	y Level ( Level 2	%) Level 1	Pro Level 4	oficiency Level 3	Level (9 Level 2	%) Level 1	Pro Level 4	oficienc Level 3	y Level (' Level 2	%) Level 1
All Students		LEA	8	52	32	8	7	40	40	12	7	51	28	14
Female		LEA	12	55	29	4	6	42	40	12	6	52	29	13
Male		LEA	5	50	34	11	8	39	41	12	8	50	27	15
Caucasian		LEA	9	56	27	7	8	41	41	10	8	54	25	13
African American		LEA												
Hispanic		LEA	5	44	41	9	7	40	36	17	5	41	36	18
Asian		LEA												
American Indian		LEA												
<b>Economically Disady</b>	antaged	LEA	6	51	32	11	5	42	39	13	6	50	27	17
Students w Disabiliti	tudents w Disabilities LEA		7	17	40	36	7	12	40	40	6	6	44	44
Q1 LEA		<2	19	55	25	<2	<2	58	41	<2	<2	50	50	
Q3		LEA	11	65	23	<2	10	53	35	2	10	70	20	<2

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School									
	Reading	Mathematics	Science						
Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient	Proficiency Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)						
Capitan Municipal Schools		Page 3 of 6 School	I District Report Card 2013-2014						

	Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Capitan Elementary		10	51	31	9	12	53	27	9	8	56	31	6
Capitan High		9	60	27	4	<2	33	60	6	<2	50	43	7
Capitan Middle		7	49	35	9	7	35	40	18	11	48	16	25
llanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades (i.e. kindergarten only) will not have data.								sis Bureau					

### **Budgeted Expenditures**

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount \$	Percent %
Capital Outlay	\$1,595,693	20.9
Central Services	\$114,648	1.5
Community Services		
Debt Service	\$862,394	11.3
Food Services	\$203,534	2.7
General Administration	\$245,730	3.2
Instruction	\$3,021,798	39.5
Instruction Support Services	\$108,157	1.4
Operations & Maintenance	\$513,777	6.7
Other Support Services		
School Administration	\$276,785	3.6
Student Support Services	\$342,844	4.5
Student Transportation	\$357,537	4.7
	Source: The PED School Budge	et and Financial Analysis Bureau

### **School Board Training**

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member	Number of Points
Ed Vinson	18
Gary Tregembo	29
Justin King	4
Robin Parks	27
Steve Dirks	5
	Source: NM School Board Association

#### **Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012**

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8
LEA Current	83.6	80.0		86.9			83.2		
Capitan High	83.6	80.0		86.9			83.2		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Planning	and Analys	is Bureau

### **Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011**

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7
LEA Current	89.4	87.6		95.4			85.0		
Capitan High	89.4	87.6		95.4			85.0		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Sou	rce: PED Da	ata Plannino	g and Analys	sis Bureau

### **College Going and College Credit Accumulation**

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Capitan Municipal Schools		Page 4 of 6 School District Report Card 201						3-2014	

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LEA Current	Eligible	26	16		13
LEA Current	Enrolled, In-State	19	12		
LEA Current	Enrolled, Out-of-State	16	10		
LEA Current	Credits Earned	24	13	11	
Capitan High	Eligible	26	16		13
Capitan High	Enrolled, In-State	19	12		
Capitan High	Enrolled, Out-of-State	16	10		
Capitan High	Credits Earned	24	13	11	
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Source: National Student Clearinghouse

Teacher Credentials												
			Statev	vide LEA								
			%	%								
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials				.0								
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty	y Schools	N	A NA								
Core classes Not raught by riighly Qualified reachers	Low Poverty	y Schools	N	A NA								
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty												
Professsional Qualifications	Number of	Highest	Degree*	Core Classes Not Taught by Highly								
	Teachers	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified Teachers								
Capitan Elementary	19	26.3	73.7	0								
Capitan High	17	41.2	52.9	0								
Capitan Middle	13	53.8	38.5	0								
* Does not include Below Bachelors												
Blank=no data available, or not applicable Source: LEA 120th day submission to PED												

#### Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Survay	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)										
	Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	
Districtwide	32	81	65	75	74	70	58	63	84	80	94	
Capitan Elementary	13	100	69	92	92	75	85	69	100	100	100	
Capitan High	9	67	13	56	50	44	25	44	78	50	78	
Capitan Middle	10	70	100	70	70	89	50	70	70	78	100	
				Sou	rce: PED	anonym	ous surv	ey collect	ed from	parents	annually	

# **National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation											
Reading %	Math %	Science %									
98	98	94									
93	92	86									
93	98	93									
91	89	87									
	Reading % 98 93 93	Reading Math % 98 98 93 92 93 98									

<sup>\*</sup> NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th	Reading (2013)				th Reading (2013) Math (2013)						Scienc	ce (2009)	
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37	
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29	

8th	Reading (2011)				Math (2011)					Science (2011)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %		
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43		
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36		

<sup>\*</sup> Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

Blanks indicate too few students to report