

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT SCHOOL DISTRICT REPORT CARD FOR 2007-08 SCHOOL YEAR

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS SUMMARY

CAPITAN MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS

AYP Rating: Meets AYP Improvement Status: Progressing

Total Number PercentSchools rated in district3100Schools in School Improvement00Schools in Corrective Action00Schools in Restructuring00

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary & Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute says, "The Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D).

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.c) Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American,

Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

CAPITAN MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- -- District Student Demographics
- -- ESEA District Summary
- -- ESEA Accountability by Subgroup
- -- 4th and 8th Grade NAEP Assessments
- -- 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 11th Grade State Assessments
- -- School Board Member Participation
- -- Data on District Expenditures
- -- Teacher Quality Data
- -- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

2007-2008 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS*

CAPITAN MUNICIPA	L SCHO	OLS	STATE	WIDE
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	259	48.1	157911	48.9
Male	280	51.9	165190	51.1
Caucasian	375	69.6	95275	29.5
African-American	8	1.5	8424	2.6
Hispanic	148	27.5	17918	55.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0.2	4441	1.4
American Indian	7	1.3	35780	11.1
English Language Learners	0	0.0	59903	18.5
Students with Disabilities	48	8.9	48691	15.1
Free/Reduced Lunch Program	n 289	53.6	205601	63.6
Migrant	0	0.0	616	0.2

*Source: STARS 120th day submission to Public Education Department.

Adequate Yearl	y Progress		STATE AC	COUNTABILITY	ĎATA (AYP)
School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status	School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	Meets AYP	Progressing	CAPITAN HIGH	Meets AYP	Progressing
CAPITAN MIDDLE	Meets AYP	Progressing			

ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by ***.

	PERCEN	T OF S		TS PRO	FICIENT		DVE	Americar	n		
School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	ALL Students	Caucasiar	African- American	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific	Indian/ Alaskan Native	FRLP	ELL	SWD
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency	59	83.8	85.0	***	80.0	***	***	82.7	***	***
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency	53	59.4	55.9	***	61.8	***	***	55.1	***	***
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency	56	90.3	89.7	***	***	***	***	90.0	***	***
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	83.8	85.0	***	80.0	***	***	82.7	***	***
CAPITAN HIGH	Reading Proficiency	56	90.3	89.7	***	***	***	***	90.0	***	***
CAPITAN MIDDLE	Reading Proficiency	53	59.4	55.9	***	61.8	***	***	55.1	***	***
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency	44	56.6	58.8	***	46.7	***	***	44.2	***	***
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency	35	35.4	39.0	***	32.4	***	***	30.6	***	***
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency	40	54.8	55.2	***	***	***	***	80.0	***	***
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	56.6	58.8	***	46.7	***	***	44.2	***	***
CAPITAN HIGH	Math Proficiency	40	54.8	55.2	***	***	***	***	80.0	***	***
CAPITAN MIDDLE	Math Proficiency	35	35.4	39.0	***	32.4	***	***	30.6	***	***
All Students-Grade K-5	Attendance Rate	92	96.0	96.3	***	95.2	***	***	95.5	***	***
All Students-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Attendance Rate	92	95.4	94.9	***	96.0	***	***	95.3	***	***
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	96.3	96.5	***	95.9	***	***	96.2	***	***
CAPITAN MIDDLE	Attendance Rate	92	95.4	94.9	***	96.0	***	***	95.3	***	***
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	90	100.0	100.0	***	***	***	***	100.0	***	***
CAPITAN HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	100.0	100.0	***	***	***	***	100.0	***	***

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2007 (reading and mathematics), and from 2005 (science).

4th Grade	F	READING	6 (2007)		MA	ГНЕМАТІ	CS (200	07)		SCIENC	CE (200	5)
	Percent	at Each Ac	hievemen	t Level ¹	Percent	at Each Ach	nievemen	t Level ¹	Percent a	t Each Achi	evement	Level ¹
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic
New Mexico	5	19	34	42	2	22	46	30	1	16	37	45
Nation	7	24	34	34	5	33	43	19	2	25	39	34

8th Grade	R	EADING	(2007)		MAT	[HEMATI	CS (200)7)		SCIENC	E (2005	5)
	Percent	t at Each Ad	chievemer	nt Level ¹	Percen	t at Each Ac	hievemer	nt Level ¹	Percent a	t Each Achi	evement	Level ¹
				Below				Below				Below
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic
New Mexico	1	17	45	38	3	15	39	43	1	17	28	54
Nation	2	27	43	27	7	24	39	30	3	24	30	43

¹ Basic is defined as partial mastery, Proficient is solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter and Advanced is superior performance

² Basic is most comparable to the Proficiency level on the Standards Based Assessment

State Assessment Results for District (excluding charter schools)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading, Mathematics and Science in the 3rd through 8th and 11th grade by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2007-08 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2008. Results for groups of fewer than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3rd Grac	de	R	EADI	NG				MAT	HEM	IATIC	S				SCIEN	NCE		
Reporting				Each Pro	ficiency	Level		Perc	ent at E	ach Pro	ficiency	Level		Perce	nt at Ea	ch Profic	ciency L	evel
Group	Percen Participat	it Number ting Tested	Advanced	Proficient F	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percen Participat	t Number	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	21	19	71	5	5	100	21	0	52	43	5	100	21	24	71	5	0
Male	100	20	5	80	15	0	100	20	5	45	50	0	100	20	20	80	0	0
Caucasian	100	33	15	76	9	0	100	33	3	52	45	0	100	33	21	79	0	0
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
$FRLP^4$	100	27	0	85	11	4	100	27	4	41	52	4	100	27	15	81	4	0
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	41	12	76	10	2	100	41	2	49	46	2	100	41	22	76	2	0
All 2006-07	100	41	27	63	10	0	100	41	0	63	37	0	100	41	34	66	0	0
NM2007-08	99	24,878	11	48	27	14	99	24,878	7	37	48	8	99	24,868	12	68	19	1
NM2006-07	99	24,323	6	49	30	16	99	24,329	5	39	49	8	99	24,320	11	68	20	1
4	41	lion Not	2		04.14				3 – 1 1	-				4 –				

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

CAPITAN MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS

Page

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

4rd Grad	е	R	EADI	NG				MAT	HEM	ATIC	S			S		NCE		
Reporting	Doroont		cent at E	Each Pro	ficiency		_				oficiency						iciency L	
Group	Participatin	Number g Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participatin	Number g Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participating	Number g Tested A	dvanced	Proficient F	Nearing E Proficiency	leginning Step
Female	100	18	11	78	11	0	100	18	6	61	33	0	100	18	0	83	17	0
Male	96	23	22	39	30	4	96	23	13	35	48	0	96	23	0	70	26	0
Caucasian	97	30	20	60	17	0	97	30	10	50	37	0	97	30	0	77	20	0
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
$FRLP^4$	96	25	12	56	28	0	96	25	8	36	52	0	96	25	0	68	28	0
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	98	41	17	56	22	2	98	41	10	46	41	0	98	41	0	76	22	0
All 2006-07	100	38	11	66	18	5	100	38	13	39	42	5	100	38	3	74	18	5
NM2007-08	99	24,364	8	43	34	15	99	24,367	7	32	52	8	99	24,357	2	48	45	4
NM2006-07	99	24,231	10	44	33	12	99	24,237	11	35	45	9	99	24,226	3	52	40	4

5th Grad	le	R	EAD	NG				MA	ГНЕМ	IATIC	S				SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting	_		ent at E	Each Pr	oficiency	Level				Each Pro				Perc	ent at Ea	ich Profi	ciency L	evel
Group	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient F	Nearing Be Proficiency	eginning Step	Percen Participat	t Number ing Tested	Advanced I	Proficient P	Nearing Be roficiency	eginning Step
Female	100	19	21	63	11	5	100	19	16	32	47	5	100	19	0	68	26	5
Male	100	18	6	61	28	6	100	18	0	72	22	6	100	18	0	83	11	6
Caucasian	100	29	14	66	17	3	100	29	10	52	34	3	100	29	0	79	17	3
Black	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
$FRLP^4$	100	16	13	63	19	6	100	16	0	50	44	6	100	16	0	75	19	6
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	37	14	62	19	5	100	37	8	51	35	5	100	37	0	76	19	5
All 2006-07	100	46	17	57	24	2	100	46	4	37	52	7	100	46	4	57	39	0
NM2007-08 NM2006-07	99 99	24,274 23,998	11 14	45 45	35 32	8 9	99 99	24,274 24,013	9 7	32 29	48 51	12 12	99 99	24,270 23,998	4 3	43 41	49 51	4 5
		.,						,										

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

6th Grad	е	R	EADI	NG				MAT	ГНЕМ	ATIC	S				SCIEN	NCE		
Reporting			cent at l	Each Pro	-					Each Pro	oficiency l	_evel		Perc	ent at E	ach Pro	ficiency l	evel
Group	Percent Participating	Number 9 Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Be Proficiency	eginning Step
Female	100	19	0	47	47	5	100	19	0	11	89	0	100	19	0	32	68	0
Male	100	31	3	23	45	29	100	31	0	23	48	29	100	31	0	23	52	26
Caucasian	100	30	0	27	50	23	100	30	0	17	63	20	100	30	0	23	60	17
Black	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	17	6	41	35	18	100	17	0	24	59	18	100	17	0	35	47	18
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
$FRLP^4$	100	33	0	30	45	24	100	33	0	15	61	24	100	33	0	18	61	21
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	50	2	32	46	20	100	50	0	18	64	18	100	50	0	26	58	16
All 2006-07	100	37	0	24	62	14	100	37	0	8	76	16	100	37	0	32	65	3
NM2007-08	99	23,666	6	37	46	11	99	23,666	5	23	58	13	99	23,653	3	28	56	13
NM2006-07	99	24,110		33	48	15	99	24,119	5	22	52	21	99	24,115	2	32	53	12

7th Grac	le	R	EADI	NG				MA	ГНЕМ	ATIC	S				SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting		Per	cent at	Each Pr	oficiency			Perc	ent at Ea	ach Prof	iciency L	.evel		Perce	ent at Ea	ch Profic	ciency L	evel
Group	Percent Participatir	Number 9 Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient I	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participatir	Number ng Tested	Advanced F	ProficientP	Nearing Be	eginning Step
Female	100	14	0	86	14	0	100	14	0	29	71	0	100	14	0	50	50	0
Male	100	26	4	54	19	23	100	26	0	35	50	15	100	26	0	31	58	12
Caucasian	100	24	4	67	21	8	100	24	0	46	46	8	100	24	0	46	50	4
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	15	0	67	13	20	100	15	0	13	80	7	100	15	0	27	67	7
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
FRLP⁴	100	21	5	52	19	24	100	21	0	29	57	14	100	21	0	29	57	14
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	40	3	65	18	15	100	40	0	33	58	10	100	40	0	38	55	8
All 2006-07	100	42	10	43	40	7	100	42	2	21	62	14	100	42	2	26	64	7
NM2007-08	99	23,964	8	43	37	12	95	23,964	6	24	51	18	99	23,954	2	31	51	15
NM2006-07	99	24,666	8	42	39	10	99	24,663	5	20	49	25	99	24,654	2	28	51	18

8th Grad	е	R	EADIN	١G				MAT	HEM	ATIC	S				SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting		Perc	cent at E	ach Prof	iciency	Level		Perce	ent at Ea	ach Prof	iciency L	evel		Perce	nt at Ead	ch Profic	ciency Le	evel
Group	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient F	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning , Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Broficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participati	t Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step
Female	100	20	0	80	20	0	100	20	0	65	35	0	100	20	0	50	50	0
Male	100	22	0	59	36	5	100	22	9	50	36	5	100	22	0	27	59	14
Caucasian	100	25	0	72	24	4	100	25	8	60	28	4	100	25	0	40	52	8
Black	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	14	0	64	36	0	100	14	0	57	43	0	100	14	0	43	50	7
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD^2	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
$FRLP^4$	100	23	0	65	30	4	100	23	0	52	43	4	100	23	0	30	57	13
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	42	0	69	29	2	100	42	5	57	36	2	100	42	0	38	55	7
All 2006-07	100	50	0	62	34	4	100	50	0	28	66	6	100	50	0	24	68	8
NM2007-08	99	24,576	5	58	29	7	99	24,579	7	30	49	14	99	24,564	1	25	58	15
NM2006-07		25,103	3	53	34	10		25,102	5	25	50	19	99	25,083	1	23	62	14

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

11th Gra	de	R	EADI	NG				MAT	HE№	IATIC	S				SCIE	NCE		
Reporting		Perc	ent at E	ach Pro	ficiency	Level		Perc	ent at E	ach Prof	ficiency L	evel		Perce	nt at Ead	ch Profic	iency Le	evel
Group	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participatir	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient P	Nearing Be roficiency	eginning Step
Female	100	17	18	82	0	0	100	17	24	35	41	0	100	17	18	53	29	0
Male	100	17	0	76	24	0	100	17	6	41	47	6	100	17	0	41	59	0
Caucasian	100	32	9	78	13	0	100	32	13	41	44	3	100	32	9	47	44	0
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
FRLP ⁴	100	10	20	70	10	0	100	10	30	50	20	0	100	10	10	60	30	0
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	34	9	79	12	0	100	34	15	38	44	3	100	34	9	47	44	0
All 2006-07	100	47	2	45	40	13	100	47	0	26	51	23	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	98	20,363	6	44	36	12	00	00.005	0	05	40	05	96	20,104	2	32	55	7
NM2006-07	98 98	19,936	7	44	36	15	98 97	20,365 19,939	9 7	25 25	40 44	25 22	30	20,104	2	52	55	1

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

School Board Member Participation*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Dunn, Nate	10
Fields, James	8
Parks, Robin	21
Vinson, Ed	23
Young, Mitchell	7

Source: 2007-08 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

Data on School Expenditures											
Includes state general fund operational moneys only for 2007-2008											
	Expenditure	Percent									
Direct Instruction	2,797,690		63								
Instructional Support Services	1,561,837		35								
Students	224,949	14									
Instruction	130,738	8									
General Administration	181,790	12									
School Administration	291,461	19									
Central Services	146,422	9									
Operations & Maintenance	584,585	37									
Student Transportation	1,892	<1									
Non-Instructional Support	52,067		1								
Food Services	52,067	100									
Community Services	0	0	•								
Capital Outlay	0		0								
Total Expeditures	4,411,594										

Source: End of year school-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Teacher Quality Data*

Percent of Teachers Te	aching with Emergen	icy or Provisional Credentia	Is: STATE WIDE: 0.14%	DISTRICT: 0.00%							
Core Classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers STATEWIDE DISTRICT											
Hig	h Poverty Schools	5.0%	N/A								
Lov	w Poverty Schools	4.2%	0.0 %								
	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*							
STATE WIDE	22,391	58.5%	41.6%	4.5%							
DISTRICT WIDE	44	38.6	61.4	0.0							
CAPITAN ELEMENTAR	XY 18	27.8	72.2	0.0							
CAPITAN HIGH	18	44.4	55.6	0.0							
CAPITAN MIDDLE	12	66.7	33.3	0.0							

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number	SA=St	rongly Agr	ee A=Ag	ree l	D=Disagree	sD=	Strongly	Disagree	K=Do	not kn	iow O=	No Opi	nion
	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#0	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
STATE WIDE TOTALS	1	19582	34540	3417	1294	2665	1509	31.1	54.8	5.4	2.1	4.2	2.4
STATE WIDE TOTALS	2	17719	33550	5878	2304	2385	1173	28.1	53.2	9.3	3.7	3.8	1.9
STATE WIDE TOTALS	3	21424	33361	3469	1157	2215	1383	34.0	52.9	5.5	1.8	3.5	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	4	21186	31955	5073	1664	1409	1722	33.6	50.7	8.1	2.6	2.2	2.7
STATE WIDE TOTALS	5	17564	32541	3882	1388	6237	1398	27.9	51.6	6.2	2.2	9.9	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	6	18032	33792	4592	2096	3031	1467	28.6	53.6	7.3	3.3	4.8	2.3
STATE WIDE TOTALS	7	13947	30216	8112	2907	5314	2515	22.1	48.0	12.9	4.6	8.4	4.0
STATE WIDE TOTALS	8	25857	29653	3433	1446	952	1669	41.0	47.1	5.4	2.3	1.5	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	9	18353	34063	3889	1619	3788	1671	29.0	53.7	6.1	2.6	6.0	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	10	21851	33306	4095	1156	1027	1574	34.7	52.9	6.5	1.8	1.6	2.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	1	0	5	3	0	0	0	0.0	62.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	2	0	3	4	1	0	0	0.0	37.5	50.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	3	0	4	2	1	1	0	0.0	50.0	25.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	4	0	3	2	2	1	0	0.0	37.5	25.0	25.0	12.5	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	5	0	2	1	1	4	0	0.0	25.0	12.5	12.5	50.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	6	0	3	2	3	0	0	0.0	37.5	25.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	7	0	4	2	2	0	0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	8	0	2	3	3	0	0	0.0	25.0	37.5	37.5	0.0	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	9	0	2	4	1	1	0	0.0	25.0	50.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	10	1	6	1	0	0	0	12.5	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	5	0	0	1	0	2	0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	6	0	1	0	2	0	0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.
 - #=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number	r SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree		ree	D=Disagree SD=Strongly Dis		Disagree	ree K=Do not know		iow O=	/ O=No Opinion				
	Q#	#SA #	A	#D		#SD	#K	#0	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	7	0	1		0	2	0	0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	8	0	2		1	0	0	0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	9	0	2		1	0	0	0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN ELEMENTARY	10	1	2		0	0	0	0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	1	0	1		2	0	0	0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	2	0	1		2	0	0	0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	3	0	1		2	0	0	0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	4	0	0		1	2	0	0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	5	0	2		0	0	1	0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	6	0	1		1	1	0	0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	7	0	2		1	0	0	0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	8	0	0		1	2	0	0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	9	0	0		2	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0
CAPITAN HIGH	10	0	3		0	0	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	1	0	2		0	0	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	2	0	1		0	1	0	0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	3	0	0		0	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	4	0	1		0	0	1	0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	5	0	0		0	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	6	0	1		1	0	0	0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	7	0	1		1	0	0	0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	8	0	0		1	1	0	0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	9	0	0		1	1	0	0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAN MIDDLE	10	0	1		1	0	0	0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

SWD- Students with Disabilities.

Elementary & Secondary Act District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

• After 1st year of not making AYP - the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:

- (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
- (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
- (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.

 After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.

 After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.

• After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.

• After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.

• After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to demonstrate proficiency. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the STARS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2007-08 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" also comes from the report obtained from the STARS 120th day for 2007-08, highly qualified teacher report generated on 2/18/2009.

Feeder School Methodology

Feeder schools are kindergarten through second grade schools, and their students do not take the standards-based tests. ESEA requires that all schools be rated for Adequate Yearly Progress, including feeder schools. These schools are rated on the performance of third grade students who once attended there.

High and Low Poverty Schools

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Top 25%). Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Bottom 25%).

Printed: 5/17/2009